



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,697	01/27/2004	Robert Frederick	120137.481	2064
500 7590 04/30/2008 SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA 98104				
EXAMINER				
SHAH, AMEE A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3625				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/30/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/766,697

Applicant(s)

FREDERICK ET AL.

Examiner

AMEE SHAH

Art Unit

3625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JEFFREY A. SMITH.

(3) _____.

(2) James A.D. White.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4/18/2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: NONE.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. White expressed concern regarding the propriety of the Final status and whether any attempts to perfect the 131 Affidavit would be considered as a seasonable presentation. Mr. Smith indicated that he believed the case was properly made final. However, Mr. Smith agreed to consider the next attempt to perfect the 131 Affidavit as a seasonable presentation (i.e. the Affidavit would be considered on the merits).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jeffrey A. Smith/
Jeffrey A. Smith
SPE, AU 3625

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application Number**Application/Control No.**

10/766,697

**Applicant(s)/Patent under
Reexamination**

FREDERICK ET AL.

Examiner

AMEE SHAH

Art Unit

3625